## New Names in the Order Lepidoptera.

My attention has recently been called to two homonyms which I published while with Dr. Barnes. Mr. F. H. Benjamin points out that Oncocnemis punctilinea B. & L. (Bull. Brook. Ent. Soc. xvii, 71, 1922) is preoccupied by O. punctilinea Hampson. I propose the new name Oncocnemis benjamin's excellent work on the Noctuidae.

Through Mr. Charles P. Alexander, I learn that *Nothophila* B. & L. (Bull. Brook. Ent. Soc. xvii. 75, 1922) is preoccupied by *Nothophila* Alexander in Tipulidae, published earlier in 1922. I propose the new name Bandella for this genus.

A third item deals with an error in our treatment of *Argus* Scopoli in the Ann. Ent. Soc. Am. xv, 90, 1922. Captain N. D. Riley, of the British Museum, has called Mr. Benjamin's attention to the fact that this name is preoccupied, and that *Satyrodes* will stand.—A. W. Lindsey, Denison University, Grantville, Ohio.

## On the Authorship of the Encyclopédie Méthodique, Vol. IX. A Correction (Lepid.).

In the Entomological News for Nov., 1922 (xxxiii, 281-2), I called attention to an apparent mistake in the customary citation of authorship of the species of Lepidoptera described in this work. As has happened many times before, incomplete evidence led to erroneous conclusions. Even in these I was preceded by Sherborn and Woodward, Ann. & Mag. Nat. Hist. (7), xvii, 577-582, 1906. Mr. George Talbot, of the Hill Museum, has kindly brought this reference to my attention, and I am indebted to Dr. Calvert, editor of the News, for supplying me with a summary of its contents.

The paper by Messrs. Sherborn and Woodward is entitled "On the Dates of Publication of the Natural History Portions of the Encyclopédie Méthodique'." According to Dr. Calvert's summary, the authors draw from apparently reliable sources evidence that part one of volume nine, including pages 1-328, was published in 1819, while part two, including pages 329-828, did not appear until 1824. In a footnote they express the same erroneous conclusion as my own, in the following words: "9. Mr. Kirby has copies of these two parts as issued. The article 'Papillons' was written by Godart (see the preface to the volume)."

The error in this conclusion and the actual authorship of the species concerned are fully explained in a letter from Dr. Karl Jordan, dated Dec. 12, 1922. Dr. Jordan has brought to my attention another footnote in the Encyclopédie Méthodique which, with the evidence pointed out in my previous note, explains the division of the work on this volume completely. This footnote is on page 706, under the introduction to the "Hespérides", and reads as follows: "Afin d'accélérer le publication de ce demi volume, je me suis chargé de la rédaction de cette tribu des lépidopteres diurnes; j' en excepte seulement le genre *Uranic* et les